

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 9TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 6707 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

S.G.NANDAKUMAR, SPECIAL VILLAGE OFFICER,
KARULAI (UNDER SUSPENSION), RESIDING AT VELOOR
HOUSE, NADUVILAKKARA, UMayANALLOOR P.O.,
KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.SAMSUDIN

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY TO THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE COMMISSIONER OF LAND REVENUE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. THE DIRECTOR OF VIGILANCE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
4. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION BUREAU,
MALAPPURAM.
5. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, MALAPPURAM.
6. THE SUSPENSION REVIEW COMMITTEE,
VIGILANCE DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
7. K.RASAK, S/O.KOYA,
KUNNATHODIKA HOUSE, VARAKKULAM,
KARULAI, NILAMBUR.

R1 TO R6 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER MR.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 28/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.6707 of 2007

Dated, this the 28th day of February, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has been placed under suspension as per Ext.P4 order dated 18.7.2006 while working as Special Village Officer. Feeling aggrieved, he has approached the second respondent and Ext.P6 filed by him against the order of suspension is pending with the second respondent.

2. Having regard to the facts and circumstances stated in Ext.P6 it is necessary that the second respondent shall consider Ext.P6 and take appropriate decision as early as possible, contends the counsel for the petitioner.

3. Ext.P6 is a statutory representation filed in the form of appeal under Rule 22 of the Kerala Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules and therefore, the second respondent shall dispose of the same in accordance with law without delay.

4. Having heard counsel for the petitioner and Government Pleader for respondents 1 to 6, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition fixing a time limit for passing orders on Ext.P6.

Accordingly the second respondent is directed to consider Ext.P6 on merits and dispose of the same in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. The petitioner shall be afforded an opportunity of being heard before Ext.P6 is disposed of. Petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment and a copy of the writ petition before the second respondent for compliance.

Sd/-
K.K.DENESAN,
JUDGE

vns

/ true copy /

P.S. to Judge